

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,703	(05/01/2001	Mark W. Kroll	A01P1028	6988
36802	7590	08/20/2004		EXAMINER	
PACESET	•		OROPEZA, FRANCES P		
15900 VALLEY VIEW COURT SYLMAR, CA 91392-9221				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3762	

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/847,703	KROLL, MARK W.				
Office Action Sui	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frances P. Oropeza	3762				
	nis communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/15/04 (Response & RCE).						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	•—	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04. 	ving Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/847,703

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. The Applicant's submission filed on 4/15/01 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, 16-18 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Limousin (US 6181968). Limousin discloses a system to verify capture and to deliver pacing pulses to a combined electrode pair located in both ventricles / both atria (abstract; col. 1 @ 5-20; col. 2 @ 6-26; col. 3 @ 7-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 2-6, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Limousin (US 6181968) in view of Weinberg et al. (US 5476485). As discussed in paragraph 2 of this action, Limousin discloses the claimed invention except tip stimulation electrodes (claims 2, 22), selecting polarities and electrode configurations (claims 3, 6, 19, 22), and biphasic and unipolar pacing (claims 4, 5).

Weinberg et al. teaches impedance detection and capture detection.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,703

Art Unit: 3762

As to electrode selection/ configuration and pacing mode adjusted by polarity, configuration, Weinberg et al. teach cardiac stimulation and sensing using tip electrodes to stimulate, ring electrodes to sense/ pace (given the requirements of the unipolar/ bipolar pacing mode) for the purpose of providing system flexibility and teach control of the polarity of the electrodes during stimulation and sensing for the purpose of providing directional control for the stimulation and sensing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used tip electrodes to stimulate, ring electrodes to sense and pace, unipolar and bipolar pacing modes, and control of the polarity of stimulation and sensing electrodes in the modified Limousin system in order to provide the hardware and associated system controls of the stimulation and sensing so the cardiac dynamics can be more precisely understood and by optimal stimulation, the cardiac output can be optimized (abstract; col. 1 @ 7-13; col. 4 @ 20 – col. 5 @ 25; col. 5 @ 31-40; col. 6 @ 10-11 and 40-42).

4. Claims 7-15, 20, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Limousin (US 6181968) in view of Weinberg et al. (US 5476485) and further in view of Salo et al. (US 6278894). As discussed in paragraphs 2 and 3 of this action, modified Limousin discloses the claimed invention except using impedance to monitor capture (claims 11 and 21) and using different electrode configurations to verify capture (claims 7-9, 12-15, 20, 23 and 24).

As to monitoring capture via impedance (claims 11 and 21), Salo et al. teach capture monitoring/monitoring evoked change using impedance for the purpose of determining cardiac output. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used capture monitoring via impedance in the modified Limousin system in

Application/Control Number: 09/847,703

Art Unit: 3762

order to provide a proven means for precisely determining cardiac output so the stimulation energy can be more precisely optimized to provide optimal cardiac profusion for the patient (col. 3 @ 31-65; col. 4 @ 21-33).

As to electrode configurations and claims 7-10, 12, 13, 20, 23 and 24, Salo et al. teach stimulation and sensing configurations using combinations of tip and ring electrodes for the purpose of stimulating cardiac tissue and sensing evoked responses. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the multiple electrode stimulating and sensing configuration in the modified Limousin system in order to use a proven means that enables optimization of stimulation and sensing so the cardiac performance of the patient is optimized (abstract; figure 1; col. 1 @ 6-10; col. 2 @ 49-62; co.l 3 @ 32-65; col. 4 @ 21-32; col. 4 @ 66 – col. 5 @ 3; col. 5 @ 23-27, 43-53 and 54-65).

As to electrode configurations and claims 14-15 and 24, Salo et al. teach cardiac diagnosis and therapy using multiple stimulation and sensing configurations for the purpose of maximizing the understanding of cardiac dynamics to enable selection of simulation configurations that optimize cardiac output (col. 3 @ 32-65). This statement provides a clear suggestion that electrodes included in the stimulation pair and in the sensing pair can be modified to optimize the understanding of the cardiac tissue dynamics, hence enabling stimulation that improves cardiac output. The variation in the stimulation and sensing configurations is read to include stimulating with first and second left electrodes and sensing with first and second right electrodes, and sensing with left atrial and right ventricular electrodes. The determination of the most appropriate stimulation and sensing configurations by routine

Art Unit: 3762

٠, ٠

experimentation would, therefore, be prima facie obvious to one having ordinary still in the cardiac stimulation and monitoring art.

Statutory Basis

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza, telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

Supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular

communication and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Receptionist, telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner

ratent Examine

Art Unit 3762

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingel. De Aghes

Page 5